

Record of Officer's Decision

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014

The Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Date of Decision:	12 January 2021
Decision Maker (Officer):	Ian Taylor Head of Public Realm
Authority for Delegated Decision (Cabinet Decision or Scheme of Delegation – provide reference):	Part 3, Schedule 3 – Responsibility for Executive Functions delegated to Officers paragraph 4.3 (1) – the Corporate Director has delegated authority to discharge executive functions within their respective service areas (Part 3.42). All delegations are subject to consultation where considered appropriate in the circumstances (paragraph 4.3 (4(ii) – Part 3.42).
If required, identify which Cabinet Member(s)/Portfolio Holder(s) consulted?	Environment and Public Spaces Portfolio Holder
Is it a Key Decision?	No
Decision Made:	To accept recently sought quotations for replacement items of grounds maintenance equipment used by the Open Spaces service for grounds maintenance operations within the Tendring District
Reason for Decision (if a report was produced to support the Decision, refer to and attach the same):	The equipment forms part of the Councils replacement rolling programme for vehicles and equipment and sufficient budget is provided in this programme.
Highlight any associated risks/finance/legal/equality considerations:	The equipment has been sought via an open tender in accordance with Council Procurement and Financial regulations.
Details of any Alternative Options Considered and rejected (together with reasons): Details of any declarations	Not to replace or to extend life of existing equipment. However, non-replacement of equipment at the appropriate time increases risk of unreliability and therefore inefficiency as well as safety for operators. The Portfolio Holder has signed a letter of concurrence.
of interest (by Cabinet Member/Portfolio Holder who was consulted by the officer, which related to the decision)	

If relevant, a note of the dispensation granted by the Monitoring Officer:	
Reason Decision is not	Decision contains confidential information
published:	Decision falls within an exemption pursuant to Sch 12A Local Government Act 1972 Information:
Tick one or more of the	Relates to an individual
specific exemptions,	Likely to reveal the identity of an individual
and	Relating to financial or business affairs of a person or organisation
Give more information in the final box with regards to why the exemption applies and outweighs the public interest test (which is in favour of disclosure).	Relates to a claim for legal professional privilege in legal proceedings
	• Reveals that the Council proposes to give under any enactment a notice under or by virtue of which requirements are imposed on a person; or to make an order or direction under any enactment
	Relating to any action taken or to be taken in connection with the prevention, investigation or prosecution of crime
	<u>And</u> is exempt if and so long, as in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information
	Reasons:
Officers	
-	
Officers	lan Taylor

Head of Public Realm

In consultation with:

M. J. Talbot :Portfolio Holder for Environment and Public Spaces

Dated: 15 / 01/ 2021.